## Ethylaluminium Dichloride Induced Reactions of Acetals with Unsaturated Carboxylic Esters: Synthesis of Homoallyl Ethers

Jürgen O. Metzger\* and Ursula Biermann

Department of Chemistry, University of Oldenburg, Carl-von-Ossietzky-Straße 9-11, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany

Telefax: (internat.) +49(0)441/7983329 E-mail: Metzger@ocl.chemie.uni-oldenburg.de

Received May 28, 1996

Key Words: Homoallyl ethers / Acetals / Unsaturated fatty esters / Addition reactions

The ethylaluminium dichloride induced reactions of methyl 10-undecenoate (1) with dimethyl acetals of formaldehyde 2a, acetaldehyde 2b, isobutyraldehyde 2c, and pivaldehyde 2d gave the corresponding homoallyl ethers 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d in yields of 48-70%. The products were obtained as mix-

tures of the (E) and (Z) stereoisomers. With formaldehyde dimethyl acetal (2a), methyl oleate (6), and methyl petroselinate (11) gave the corresponding regioisomeric (E)-configured homoallyl ethers 7/8 and 12/13.

Reactions of acetals with alkenes induced by Lewis acids, e.g. TiCl<sub>4</sub>, BF<sub>3</sub> · OEt<sub>2</sub> or FeCl<sub>3</sub>, leading to the formation of a new carbon-carbon bond have been studied extensively[1]. Especially reactions of acetals with olefins with an activated double bond such as allylsilanes<sup>[2,3]</sup> and vinyl ethers<sup>[4,5]</sup>, yielding homoallyl ethers and 1,1,3-trialkoxyalkanes, respectively, are well-known. The condensation of acetals with allylsilanes in liquid sulfur dioxide should also be an interesting method to synthesize homoallyl ethers<sup>[6]</sup>. Snider and Burbaum<sup>[7]</sup> reported on alkylaluminium halide-induced reactions of chiral acetals with alkenes to give chlorine-containing ethers and/or homoallyl ethers in yields of up to 60%. These reactions proceed, however, only with the most reactive acetals and alkenes. All attempts to extend the alkylaluminium halide-induced reaction to acetals of aliphatic aldehydes such as acetaldehyde and nonanal were unsuccessful leading to the recovery of the starting acetal. The condensation of peracetylated glycals with a wide spectrum of olefins was carried out in the presence of different Lewis acids, e.g. ethylaluminium dichloride (EtAlCl<sub>2</sub>), to give C-glycosides in good to excellent yields[8]. However, there are only few examples of reactions of nonactivated alkenes with acetals in the presence of boron trifluoride<sup>[9,10]</sup>. Moreover, results on the Lewis acid-induced addition of acetals to 1-alkenes and 1,2-dialkyl-substituted ethenes are very limited<sup>[10]</sup>.

Unsaturated fatty acids such as oleic acid, petroselenic acid and 10-undecenoic acid are of interest as renewable raw materials<sup>[11]</sup>. We could show that Lewis acid-induced additions to unsaturated fatty acids gave new products with interesting properties<sup>[12-14]</sup>. For example, the alkylaluminium chloride induced ene addition of formaldehyde to unsaturated fatty acids, esters and the respective alcohols gave the corresponding homoallyl alcohols in good yields<sup>[12]</sup>. We were interested now in the synthesis of homo-

allyl ethers by reactions of the methyl esters of the unsaturated fatty acids 1, 6, and 11 with acetals in the presence of alkylaluminium halides. We could show that dimethyl acetals of aliphatic aldehydes 2 can be added to 1-alkene 1 and to the 1,2-dialkyl substituted double bonds of 6 and 11 in good to moderate yields.

## Results and Discussion

The reaction of methyl 10-undecenoate (1) with dimethyl acetals 2a-2d in the presence of  $EtAlCl_2$  in a molar ratio of 1:1:2 gave after a reaction time of 24 h the corresponding homoallyl ethers 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d. A mixture of  $CH_2Cl_2$  and hexane was used as solvent. The products were obtained as mixtures of the (E) and (Z) stereoisomers. 3a and 3b were isolated by "kugelrohr" distillation in yields of 69% and 51%. The ratio of the (E) and (Z) stereoisomers was 4:1 (3a) and 6:1 (3b). As minor products the corresponding diethers 4a and 4b (3-10%, GC) and  $\beta$ -chloro ethers 5a and 5b (10-14%, GC) were obtained (Table 1). In a modified procedure the addition of acetal 2a to 1 could be carried out without  $CH_2Cl_2$  as cosolvent. After a reaction time of 3 h 3a was isolated in 74% yield.

The homoallyl ethers 3c and 3d were obtained in yields of 66% and 48%. The ratios of the stereoisomers were [(E)]:[(Z)] = 7:1 (3c) and [(E)]:[(Z)] = 7.5:1 (3d). Diethers 4c and 4d and  $\beta$ -chloro ethers 5c and 5d were detected only in small amounts of about 5%. In addition, the corresponding saturated ethers (5-7%, GC) were observed.

Reactions of dimethyl acetals were also carried out with 1,2-disubstituted double bonds of methyl oleate (6) and methyl petroselinate (11). The EtAlCl<sub>2</sub>-induced reaction of 6 with acetal 2a (6:2a:EtAlCl<sub>2</sub>, 1:1:2) gave the correspoding regioisomeric homoallyl ethers 7 and 8. The ratio of 7 to 8, obtained as pure (E) adducts, was approximately 1:1. The stereochemistry was identified by comparison of the

vicinal coupling constants of the olefinic protons in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum with those reported recently for the homoallyl alcohols obtained by the EtAlCl<sub>2</sub>-induced reaction of methyl oleate with formaldehyde<sup>[12]</sup>. (Z) Stereoisomers were detected neither in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR nor in the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra. The reaction of 11 with 2a gave a regioisomeric mixture of the (E)-configured homoallyl ethers 12 and 13 in a ratio of 1:1.8. Separation of the regioisomers 7/8 and 12/13, respectively, was not possible. They are distinguishable by their <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra but they could not be assigned unambiguously. 7/8 and 12/13 were obtained after a reaction time of 3 h in yields of 53% (GC) and 57% (GC). As minor products only the corresponding diethers 9/10 and 14/15 were obtained. Chlorine-containing addition products were not formed (Table 1). "Kugelrohr" distillation gave the homoallyl ethers 7/8 and 12/13 in yields of 28% and 30% with 70% purity (GC).

$$CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{7} \xrightarrow{10-9} [CH_{2}]_{7} \xrightarrow{0} + 2a$$

$$\downarrow \text{EtAlCl}_{2}, \\ \text{hexane, 3 h}$$

$$CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{6} \xrightarrow{11} [CH_{2}]_{7} \xrightarrow{0} + CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{7} \xrightarrow{9} [CH_{2}]_{6} \xrightarrow{0}$$

$$7 \qquad \qquad 8$$

$$+ CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{7} \xrightarrow{0} [CH_{2}]_{7} \xrightarrow{0} + CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{7} \xrightarrow{0} [CH_{2}]_{7} \xrightarrow{0}$$

The EtAlCl<sub>2</sub>-induced reaction of 1 with isobutyraldehyde diethyl acetal (16) gave the expected homoallyl ether 17 in 36% (GC) yield only and the additional products 18 and 20

$$CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{10} \xrightarrow{7-6} [CH_{2}]_{4} \xrightarrow{0} + 2a$$

$$EtAlCl_{2}, \text{ hexane, 3h}$$

$$CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{9} \xrightarrow{8} \xrightarrow{7} [CH_{2}]_{4} \xrightarrow{0} CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{10} \xrightarrow{0} [CH_{2}]_{3} \xrightarrow{0}$$

$$12 \qquad 13$$

$$CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{10} \xrightarrow{0} (CH_{2}]_{4} \xrightarrow{0} CH_{3}[CH_{2}]_{10} \xrightarrow{0} [CH_{2}]_{4} \xrightarrow{0}$$

Table 1. EtAlCl<sub>2</sub>-induced reactions of unsaturated fatty esters with acetals

Alkene	Acetal	Products (yield %, GC)		
		Homoallyl ether	Diether	ß-Chloro ether
1	2a	<b>3a</b> (75)	<b>4a</b> (6)	<b>5a</b> (12)
1	2b	<b>3b</b> (69)	4b (13)	5b (4)
1	2c	3c (72)	4c[a]	5c[a]
1	2d	<b>3d</b> (54)	4d[a]	5d <sup>[a]</sup>
6	2a	<b>7/8</b> (53)	9/10 (16)	-
11	2a	<b>12/13</b> (57)	14/15 (22)	-

[a] 4c, 5c, 4d, and 5d were formed only in traces.

compared to the corresponding reaction of 1 with dimethyl acetal 2c. The formation of product 18, (38%, GC), can be explained by an intramolecular hydride shift in the intermediate 21 followed by ethylation of the new carbocation 22 to give the saturated ether 18. The latter was isolated by column chromatography in a yield of 17% and obtained as a mixture of diastereomers (1:1.5, GC). The diastereomers are distinguishable by their <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra but they were not separated. Column chromatography gave the ketone 20 in 7% yield.

Our results show that homoallyl ethers can be synthesized in good to moderate yields by reactions of dimethyl acetals of aliphatic aldehydes with nonactivated alkenes such as methyl 10-undecenoate (1), methyl oleate (6), and methyl petroselinate (11). The selective formation of the homoallyl ethers can be explained by 1,5-proton shift to the ether oxygen atom in the intermediate carbeniumion<sup>[15]</sup>. The reactions have to be carried out in the presence of Et-AlCl<sub>2</sub>. Milder alkylaluminium halides such as Me<sub>2</sub>AlCl do not induce reactions of nonactivated acetals with alkenes. These results are in agreement with the observations of Snider and Burbaum<sup>[7]</sup> who described Me<sub>2</sub>AlCl-induced reactions only with the most reactive acetals and alkenes.

The authors would like to thank the Bundesministerium für Ernährung, Landwirtschaft und Forsten for financial support of this

work (Förderkennzeichen 93NR066-F). Furthermore, we thank Henkel KGaA, Witco GmbH and Atochem for providing chemicals.

## Experimental

Refractive indices n<sub>D</sub>: Zeiss-Abbé-Refraktometer. – Elemental analysis: Fisons Instruments FA 1108 (3a), Fa. Beller, Göttingen (3b, 3c, 3d, 18). - <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Bruker AMX R 500 (3a, 3b) and Bruker AM 300 (3c, 3d, 7/8, 12/13, 18, 20), TMS as internal standard, selected data are given. Full <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data are available from the authors on request. The signals of the regioisomers of 7/8 and 12/13 and the diastereomers of 18 are distinguishable in the 1H- and 13C-NMR spectra but they could not be assigned unambiguously to the respective products. - Analytical GC: Carlo Erba GC Series 4160 with a FID (DB1-column, 29 m). -Mass spectra: Finnegan MAT 212 mass spectrometer. - Methyl 10-undecenoate, Atochem. Methyl oleate (new sun flower, 82.8% methyl oleate, 3.6% methyl stearate, 3.5% methyl palmitate, 8.4% C<sub>18:2</sub>) and petroselinic acid (81.3% petroselinic acid, 3.3% palmitic acid, 0.4% stearic acid, 13.5%  $C_{18:2}$ ) were obtained from Henkel KGaA. Methyl petroselinate was prepared in the usual manner. The amounts of the starting olefins used in the reactions were calculated based on 100% purity. Formaldehyde dimethyl acetal and acetaldehyde dimethyl acetal (Aldrich) were used without further purification. Isobutyraldehyde dimethyl acetal, pivaldehyde dimethyl acetal, and isobutyraldehyde diethyl acetal were prepared in the usual manner<sup>[16]</sup>. The acetals were purified by distillation. EtAlCl<sub>2</sub> and  $Me_2AlCl$  were obtained from Witco GmbH and used without further purification. – All reactions were run under  $N_2$ .

Reaction of Methyl Esters of Unsaturated Fatty Acids with Dialkyl Acetals. — General Procedure: A mixture of methyl 10-undecenoate (1, 5 mmol) and acetal 2a-d (5 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 ml) was stirred magnetically under nitrogen (1 bar) for 5 min at  $-78\,^{\circ}C$ . After dropwise addition of  $EtAlCl_2$  (1 M in hexane, 10 ml, 10 mmol) the mixture was stirred for additional 4 h at  $-78\,^{\circ}C$  and then for 20 h at room temp. The reaction was quenched by addition of  $Et_2O$  (100 ml) and  $H_2O$  (40 ml). 10% HCl was added until the precipitated aluminium salts had dissolved. The organic layer was separated and washed with  $H_2O$  (3 × 30 ml). The organic layer was dried ( $Na_2SO_4$ ) and the solvent evaporated. The homoallylic ethers were purified by "kugelrohr" distillation (1.5 ×  $10^{-2}$  mbar,  $90-100\,^{\circ}C$  for 3a and 3b,  $125\,^{\circ}C$  for 3c and 3d). The minor products 4 and 5 were identified by GC MS.

Modified Reaction Conditions for Reactions of Methyl Esters of Unsaturated Fatty Acids 1, 6, and 11 with Formaldehyde Dimethyl Acetal (2a): A mixture of the appropriate methyl esters of the unsaturated fatty acids 1 (2.5 mmol), 6, or 11 (2.1 mmol) and the acetal 2a (2.5 mmol) was stirred magnetically under nitrogen (1 bar) for 5 min at  $-15\,^{\circ}$ C. Then EtAlCl<sub>2</sub> (1 M in hexane, 7.5 ml, 7.5 mmol) was added dropwise and the sample was stirred for additional 3 h at room temp. The reaction was stopped as described above. The products were purified by "kugelrohr" distillation (1.5  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> bar, 180 °C). The minor products 9/10 were identified by GC-MS analysis.

Reaction of Methyl 10-Undecenoate (1) with Isobutyraldehyde Diethyl Acetal (16): A mixture of 1 (2.5 mmol) and the acetal 16 (6 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 ml) was stirred magnetically under nitrogen (1 bar) for 5 min at  $-78\,^{\circ}C$ . After dropwise addition of  $EAlCl_2$  (1 M in hexane, 7.5 ml, 7.5 mmol) the mixture was stirred for additional 4 h at  $-78\,^{\circ}C$  and then for 20 h at room temp. The reaction was stopped as described above. GC-MS analysis showed a mixture of 36% of 17, 38% of 18, 12% of 19, and 7% of 20. The products 18 and 20 were isolated by column chromatography: 28 cm  $\times$  2 cm, silica gel 60 (Merck, 70-230 mesh), eluent petroleum ether/diethyl ether (9:1, 150 ml, and 8:2, 150 ml). Fractions containing 18 and 20, respectively, were collected, the solvent was evaporated and the residue dried at  $20\,^{\circ}C/1.5\,^{\times}10^{-2}$  mbar.

*Methyl 12-Methoxydodec-9-enoate* (3a) [(*E*):(*Z*) = 3.9:1, GC]: Yield 0.83 g (69%), colourless liquid,  $n_1^{18}$  = 1.4503. − <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 5.45 (dt, *J* = 15.3, 6.7 Hz, 1 H, 10-H), 5.35 (dt, *J* = 15.3, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, 9-H), 3.63 (s, 3 H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.35 [t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, 12-H, (*E*)], 3.34 [t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, 12-H, (*Z*)], 3.31 [s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>, (*Z*)], 3.30 [s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>, (*E*)], 2.26 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, 2-H), 2.23 (dt, *J* = 6.4, 6.4 Hz, 2 H, 11-H), 2.0 [dt, *J* = 7.0, 6.8 Hz, 2 H, 8-H, (*Z*)], 1.95 [dt, *J* = 7.0, 6.8 Hz, 2 H, 8-H, (*E*)]. − <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 174.2 (C=O), 132.5 [(C-10, (*E*)], 131.9 [C-10, (*Z*)], 126.2 [C-9, (*E*)], 125.4 [C-9, (*Z*)], 72.6 [C-12, (*E*)], 72.4 (C-12, (*Z*)], 58.4 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.3 (COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 38.5 (C-11). − MS/CI (isobutane); m/z (%): 243 (100) [MH<sup>+</sup>], 211 (28) [MH<sup>+</sup> − MeOH]. − C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (242.2): calcd. C 69.4, H 10.7; found C 69.5, H 10.8.

Methyl (±)-12-Methoxytridec-9-enoate (3b) [(E):(Z) = 6:1,  $^{13}$ C NMR]: Yield 0.65 g (51%), colourless liquid,  $n_D^8$  = 1.4501. -  $^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 5.41 (dt, J = 15.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H, 10-H), 5.33 (dt, J = 15.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H, 9-H), 3.61 (s, 3H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.27 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.25 (m, 1H, 12-H), 2.25 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, 2-H), 2.20 (m, 1H, 11-H), 2.04 (ddd, J = 14.0, 13.4, 6.7 Hz, 1H, 11-H'), 1.94 (dt, J = 7.0, 6.8 Hz, 2H, 8-H), 1.06 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 3H, 13-H). -  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 174.1 (C=O), 132.9 [C-10, (E)], 131.7 [C-10, (Z)], 125.9 [C-9, (E)], 125.3 [C-9, (Z)], 76.8 (C-12),

55.9 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.3 (COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 39.1 (C-11), 18.9 [C-13, (Z)], 18.7 [C-13, (E)]. - MS/CI (isobutane); m/z (%): 257 (100) [MH<sup>+</sup>], 225 (90) [MH<sup>+</sup> - MeOH]. -  $C_{15}H_{28}O_3$  (256.2): calcd. C 70.3, H 10.9; found C 70.3, H 10.9.

Methyl ( $\pm$ )-12-Methoxy-13-methyltetradec-9-enoate (3c) [(E):(Z) = 7:1,  ${}^{13}$ C NMR]: Yield 0.47 g (66%),  $n_D^{18}$  = 1.4517.  $-{}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 5.42$  (m, 2H, 9-H, 10-H), 3.64 (s, 3H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.63 (m, 1H, 12-H), 3.34 [s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>, (Z)], 3.33 [s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>, (E)], 2.87 (ddd, J = 11.6, 10.5, 6.1 Hz, 1 H, 11-H'), 2.28 (t, J = 7.7Hz, 2H, 2-H), 2.15 (m, 1H, 11-H'), 1.97 (m, 2H, 8-H), 1.78 (m, 1H, 13-H), 0.88 and 0.86 (2  $\times$  d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H, 14-H and CHC $H_3$ ). - <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 174.2$  (C=O), 132.3 [C-10, (E)], 131.2 [C-10, (Z)], 126.6 [C-9, (E)], 126.0 [C-9, (Z)], 86.3 (C-12), 57.6 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.3 (COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 33.5 (C-11), 18.4 and 17.8 [C-14, (E) and CHCH3, (E)], 18.1 and 17.9 [C-14, (Z) and CHCH3, (Z)]. - MS/CI (isobutane); m/z (%): 285 (10) [MH<sup>+</sup>], 253 (100)  $[MH^+ - MeOH]$ . -  $C_{17}H_{32}O_3$  (284.2): calcd. C 71.8, H 11.3; found C 71.6, H 11.1.

Methyl  $(\pm)$ -12-Methoxy-13,13-dimethyltetradec-9-enoate (3d)  $[(E):(Z) = 7.5:1, {}^{13}C \text{ NMR}]: \text{ Yield } 0.36 \text{ g } (48\%), \text{ colourless liquid,}$  $n_D^{19} = 1.4531. - {}^{1}H \text{ NMR (CDCl}_3): \delta = 5.48 \text{ (m, 2 H, 9-H, 10-H)},$ 3.66 (s, 3H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.65 (m, 1H, 12-H), 3.41 [s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>, (Z)], 3.40 [s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>, (E)], 2.71 (ddd, J = 8.8, 8.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H, 11-H), 2.29 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, 2-H), 2.08 (m, 1H, 11-H'), 1.99 (m, 2H, 8-H), 0.88 [s, 9H,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , (E)], 0.87 [s, 9H,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , (Z)].  $- {}^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 174.2$  (C=O), 131.8 [C-10, (E)], 130.6 [C-10, (Z)], 128.5 [C-9, (E)], 127.9 [C-9, (Z)], 90.6 (C-12), 60.6 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.4 (COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 35.8 (C-13), 34.3 (C-11), 26.2 [C-14, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]. - MS/CI (isobutane); m/z (%): 299 (50) [MH<sup>+</sup>], 267 (100) [MH<sup>+</sup> - MeOH]. -  $C_{18}H_{34}O_3$  (298.2): calcd. C 72.5, H 11.4; found C 72.4, H 11.4.

Methyl (E)- $(\pm)$ -9-(Methoxymethyl) octadec-10-enoate (7) and Methyl (E)- $(\pm)$ -10-(methoxymethyl) octadec-8-enoate (8, 1:1 mixture): Yield 0.24 g (28%), purity: 70% (GC). -  $^1H$  NMR (CDCl $_3$ ):  $\delta = 5.44$  and 5.43 (dt, J = 15.4, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, 8-H and 11-H), 5.18 [ddd, J = 15.4, 7.2, 1.1 Hz, 1H, 9(10)-H], 3.66 (s, 3H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.30 (s, 3 H,  $CH_2OCH_3$ ), 3.25 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H,  $CH_2OCH_3$ ), 2.31 and 2.30 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, 2-H, 7 and 8), 2.21 [m, 1H, 9(10)-H], 2.0 [dt, J = 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 2H, 12(7)-H].  $- {}^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 174.2 (C=O), 131.8, 131.7, 131.4 (C=C, 7 and 8), 77.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>), 58.7 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.4 (COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 42.9 [C-9 (10)]. - MS/CI (isobutane); m/z (%): 341 (62) [MH<sup>+</sup>], 309 (100) [MH<sup>+</sup> - MeOH].

Methyl (E)- $(\pm)$ -6-(Methoxymethyl) octadec-7-enoate (12) and Methyl (E)- $(\pm)$ -7-(Methoxymethyl) octadec-5-enoate (13, 1:1.8)mixture): Yield 0.25 g (30%), purity: 73% (GC). - 1H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$ :  $\delta = 5.44$  [dt, J = 15.4, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, 8(5)-H], 5.19 [dd, J =15.4, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, 7(6)-H], 3.65 and 3.64 (s, 3 H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>, 12 and

13), 3.30 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.24 and 3.23 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H,  $CH_2OCH_3$ , 12 and 13), 2.29 and 2.28 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, 2-H, 12 and 13), 2.21 [m, 1 H, 8(7)-H], 2.05 and 1.97 [dt, J = 6.6, 6.9 Hz, 2 H, 2(4)-H].  $- {}^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 174.1$  (C=O), 132.9, 132.0, 131.1, 130.1 (C=C, 12 and 13), 77.0 ( $CH_2OCH_3$ ), 58.7 ( $OCH_3$ ), 51.3 (COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 42.9, 42.7 [C-6(7)]. - GC MS/CI (isobutane); m/z (%): 341 (100) [MH<sup>+</sup>], 309 (44).

Methyl (±)-12-(2-Butoxy)-13-methyltetradecanoate (18, 1:1.5 mixture of diastereomers, <sup>13</sup>C NMR): Yield 0.14 g (17%), colourless liquid,  $n_D^{23} = 1.4469$ . – <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.63$  (s, 3 H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.30 (ddd, J = 6.1, 6.1, 3.3 Hz, 1 H, 12-H), 3.02 [m, 1 H, OC $H(CH_2CH_3)CH_3$ ], 2.30 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H, 2-H), 1.78 (m, 1 H, 13-H), 1.06 [d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H,  $CH(CH_2CH_3)CH_3$ ], 0.85 [m, 9 H, 14-H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>3</sub>]. - <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 174.1$  (C=O), 81.8 and 81.7 (C-12), 75.0 and 74.5 [OCH(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>3</sub>], 51.3 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 30.6 (C-13), 20.0, 19.9, 18.6 and 18.4 [CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 18.0 and 17.4 [CH(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>3</sub>], 10.3 and 10.0 [CH(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>3</sub>]. - MS/CI (isobutane); m/z (%): 329 (33)  $[MH^{+}]$ , 225 (100)  $[MH^{+} - C_{2}H_{5}CH(OH)CH_{3}]$ .  $- C_{20}H_{40}O_{3}$ (328.2): calcd. C 73.2, H 12.2; found C 73.2, H 12.1.

Methyl  $(\pm)$ -13-Methyl-12-oxotetradecanoate (20): Yield 0.05 g (7%), colourless liquid,  $n_D^{19} = 1.4475$ . – 20 was identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 13C NMR, and MS.

[96142]

<sup>[1]</sup> T. Mukaiyama, M. Masahiro, Synthesis 1987, 1043-1054.

T. Mukaiyama, H. Nagaoka, M. Murakami, M. Ohshima, Chem. Lett. 1985, 977-980.

H. Sakurai, K. Sasaki, A. Hosomi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 745-748.

U. von der Brüggen, R. Lammers, H. Mayr, J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2920-2925.

 <sup>[5]</sup> D. Fishman, J. T. Klug, A. Shani, Synthesis 1981, 137-138.
 [6] H. Mayr, G. Gorath, B. Bauer, Angew. Chem. 1994, 106,

<sup>793-794;</sup> Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 788-789.

[7] B. B. Snider, B. W. Burbaum, Synth. Commun. 1986, 16 (12), 1451 - 1460

J. Herscovici, K. Muleka, K. Antonakis, Tetrahedron Lett.

<sup>1984, 5653-5656.</sup> D. C. Dermer, J. Dermer, J. J. Hawkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 4595-4597.

<sup>[10]</sup> R. Paul, S. Tchelitcheff, Bull. Soc. Chim. France 1951, 125-129. [11] H. Baumann, M. Bühler, H. Fochem, T. Hirsinger, H. Zoebelein, J. Falbe, Angew. Chem. 1988, 100, 41-63; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 41.

<sup>[12]</sup> J. O. Metzger, U. Biermann, Synthesis 1992, 5, 463-465.

<sup>[13]</sup> J. O. Metzger, U. Biermann, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1993, 645 - 650.

<sup>[14]</sup> J. O. Metzger, U. Biermann, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1994, 103, 393 - 397

 <sup>[15]</sup> B. B. Snider, Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 18, 426-432.
 [16] Organikum, 16th ed. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1986, p. 397.